



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,906	02/03/2004	Ronald M. Levi	088791-0203	3868
23392	7590	03/03/2009		
FOLEY & LARDNER 555 South Flower Street SUITE 3500 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2411			EXAMINER SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
			ART UNIT 2454	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/03/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/771,906

Applicant(s)

LEVI ET AL.

Examiner

MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI

Art Unit

2454

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02/03/2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CDC)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

3. Claims 1-7 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koopersmith et al. (US 2001/0042002) (Hereinafter Koopersmith).
4. As per claim 1, Koopersmith discloses a data transmission management system on a computer network having a provider computer and a user computer, the user computer being identifiable by a computer identifier, wherein the provider computer and the user computer are in communication there between, the system comprising:
 - a storage member (112, fig 4, para #0085);
 - a confirmation system (112, fig 4, para #0043), wherein the confirmation system is configured to receive a request for data transmitted from the user computer (105,

112, Fig 4, para #0045) and to confirm the request for data prior to the transmission of the data to the user computer (105, 112, Fig 4, para #0048); and

a redirect system (redirects the request or information, 112, fig 4), wherein the confirmation system and the redirect system (redirects the request or information, 112, fig 4) are coupled to each other and the storage member (functionally coupled, 112, fig 4, para #0085), and wherein the redirect system identifies the geographic region of the user computer prior to the transmission of data (demographic filtering, para #0094).

5. As per claim 16, Koopersmith discloses a data transmission management system on a computer network having a provider computer and a user computer, the user computer being identifiable by computer identifier (elements of fig 1, para #0043---0048; para #0091), wherein the provider computer and the user computer are in communication there between, the provider computer receiving a request for data from the user computer, wherein the transmission for the request for data includes the user computer identifier, and wherein the user computer is operated by a user (elements of fig 1, para #0043---0048; para #0091), the user having an electronic communication account configured to receive electronic communications from the provider computer, wherein the electronic communication account being identifiable with an account identifier (elements of fig 1, (para #0091), and the system comprising:

a storage member (112, fig 4, para #0085);

a confirmation system (112, fig 4, para #0043), wherein the confirmation system is configured to receive a request for data transmitted from the user computer (105, 112,

Fig 4, para #0045) and to confirm the request for data prior to the transmission of the data to the user computer (105, 112, Fig 4, para #0048); and
a redirect system (redirects the request or information, 112, fig 4), wherein the confirmation system and the redirect system are coupled to each other and the storage member (functionally coupled, 112, fig 4, para #0085).

6. As per claim 2, Koopersmith discloses a data receiver (trusted server, 112, fig 4), the data receiver comprising at least one receiving member and a controller, wherein the receiving member receives input data from the user computer (112, fig 4, para #0094);

an anti-fraud member (para #0091); and

a program commander (trusted server, 112, fig 4, para #0094), wherein the data receiver, anti-fraud member (anonymous server, para #0091) and program commander are in communication with each other, and wherein upon receipt of the request for data, the anti-fraud member transmits a notice to the user computer requesting confirmation of the request for data (fig 6, para #0091).

7. As per claim 3, Koopersmith discloses the data receiver comprises receiving members and a controller (107, fig 4, para #0048), wherein the receiving members receives input data from the user computer (107, fig 4, para #0048) and the controller selects and transmits a portion of the input data to the anti-fraud member (para #0048), and records pre-selected portions of the input data into the storage member (para

#0047 and #00048).

8. As per claim 4, Koopersmith discloses wherein the data receiver is configured to receive an account identifier for an electronic communication account computer (consumer identification number, para #0100), the account identifier being associated with an electronic communication program, wherein the account identifier is associated with the user computer (consumer identification number, para #0100).

9. As per claim 5, Koopersmith discloses the confirmation member is configured to transmit a notification message to the user computer upon receipt of a portion of the input data transmitted from the input member (para #0123), wherein the transmission of the input data originated from the user computer (para #0123).

10. As per claim 6, Koopersmith discloses the anti-fraud member being configured to automatically generate and transmit an electronic communication to the electronic communication account (para #0091), wherein the electronic communication is directed to the account identifier associated with the user computer (para #0091).

11. As per claim 7, Koopersmith discloses the anti-fraud member being configured to simultaneously generate and transmit an electronic communication to the electronic communication account (para #0112 and #0116), and a notification message to the user

computer, wherein the electronic communication is directed to the account identifier associated with the user computer (para #0112 and 0116).

12. As per claim 17, Koopersmith discloses the redirect system identifies the geographic region of the user computer prior to the transmission of data (demographic filtering, para #0094) (demographic filtering, para #0094).

13. As per claim 18, Koopersmith discloses upon the transmission for a request for data from the user computer (elements of fig 1, para #0043---0048; para #0091), the confirmation system transmits an electronic communication to the electronic communication account identified by the account identifier (consumer identification number, para #0100; para #0091).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claims 8-15 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koopersmith et al. (US 2001/0042002) (Hereinafter Koopersmith) in view of Parekh et al. (6,757,740) (Hereinafter Parekh).

16. As per claim 8, Koopersmith did not disclose wherein the re-direct system verifies whether the data requested by the user computer is suitable for the geographic region of the user computer. However, Parekh discloses wherein the re-direct system verifies whether the data requested by the user computer is suitable for the geographic region of the user computer (col 4, lines 55-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teaching of Parekh with Koopersmith. The motivation would have been to communicate targeted information by profiling geographical locations of the internet users.

17. As per claim 9, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Parekh discloses the re-direct system transmits an alternative set of data if the data requested by the user computer is not suitable for the geographic region of the user computer (col 4, lines 55-67).

18. As per claim 10, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Parekh discloses the redirect system selects data for transmission to the user based upon the identified geographic region (col 1, lines 19-25, col 4 lines 55-67).

19. As per claim 11, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Parekh discloses wherein the re- direct system further comprises: an IP

converter, a look-up engine and a controller (nslookup, col 5, lines 18-24).

20. As per claim 12, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Parekh discloses wherein the computer identifier comprises a first component, a second component, and a third component, and wherein the IP converter converts the computer identifier to an IP identifier (nslookup, col 5, lines 42-57).

21. As per claim 13, As per claim 12, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Parekh discloses above. In addition, Parekh discloses wherein the IP address is converted to the IP identifier by the following conversion equation: $(\text{component1} * 2562) + (\text{component2} * 256) + (\text{component3})$ (col 9, lines 11-51).

22. As per claim 14, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Parekh discloses the computer identifier comprises a first component, a second component, and a third component, and wherein the re-direct system converts the computer identifier to an IP identifier (nslookup, col 5, lines 39-58).

23. As per claim 15, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Parekh discloses the computer identifier is converted to the IP identifier by the following conversion equation: $(\text{component1} * 2562) + (\text{component2} * 256) + (\text{component3})$ (col 9, lines 11-51).

24. As per claim 19, Koopersmith did not disclose in-the computer identifier comprises a first component, a second component, and a third component, and wherein the re-direct system converts the computer identifier to an IP identifier. However, Parekh discloses in-the computer identifier comprises a first component, a second component, and a third component, and wherein the re-direct system converts the computer identifier to an IP identifier (nslookup, col 5, lines 39-58).

25. As per claim 20, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Parekh discloses the computer identifier is converted to the IP identifier by the following conversion equation: $(\text{component1} * 2562) + (\text{component2} * 256) + (\text{component3})$ (col 9, lines 11-51).

Conclusion

26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent 6,578,066

U.S. Patent 7,184,971

US Pub 2005/0027821

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI whose telephone number is (571)272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MS

/Nathan J. Flynn/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454